

If the jury find from the evidence that the defendant acted as

1 If the jury find from the evidence that the defendant <sup>deliberately</sup> and <sup>premeditatedly</sup> killed Robert Newsom about the month of June last in the town of Hallway it is murder in the first degree

2 The deliberation and premeditation necessary to constitute murder in the first degree need be but for a moment before the killing, and may be inferred from the circumstances attending the killing

~~If the jury are satisfied <sup>beyond a reasonable doubt</sup> that the defendant killed him beyond a reasonable~~

3 If the jury are satisfied <sup>beyond a reasonable doubt</sup> that the defendant killed him, it devolves upon her to show in her defense from the evidence in the case to the reasonable satisfaction of the jury that she was guilty of a less crime than murder ~~as~~ acted in self defense

4

Woman

If The jury believe from The Evidence that it was not the intention of defendant to Kill Newson, but that she did intend to do him some great bodily harm & and that in so doing death ensued it is murder in the first degree by the Statute of This State

5

girl

If Newson went to the cabin of the defendant who was his slave was in the habit of having intercourse with the defendant who was his slave and went to her cabin on the night or yesterday he was killed to have intercourse with her or for any other purpose and while he was standing in the floor talking to her she struck him with a ~~dangerous~~ <sup>steel</sup> ~~weapon~~ <sup>which was a dangerous weapon</sup> and knocked him down, and struck him again after he fell, and killed him by either blow, it is murder in the first degree

6

not a woman

Defendant had no right to Kill him because he came to her cabin to and was talking to her about having intercourse with her or any thing else

7

There is no evidence before The jury that

of  
evidence

She was acting in self defence

~~Every thing~~

8 It devolves on The defendant to show from The evidence on the case to the reasonable satisfaction of The jury that she acted in self defence

of  
evidence

~~The admissions made by defendant against her self are presumed to be true because against her self interest what she said in her own favour~~

9 The jury may believe what defendant said against her self and reject what she said in her own favour, and they are not bound to favor it as true because she said it.

of  
evidence

~~Even so constitute self defence~~  
The